**Abstract:** This article is a continuation of the article Insecticide residues cross-contamination of oilseeds during storage published in OCL vol. 14, n°6, November-December 2007. The last article presented the results obtained by an investigation on stored sunflower seeds, and this new work presents results on stored rapeseed. Pesticide residues are found in oilseeds and crude oils: they are mainly organophosphate insecticides (pirimiphos-methyl, malathion) used in empty storage facilities and for application to stored cereal grains. French regulation does not allow use of these insecticides on stored oilseeds. These residues arise from cross-contamination from storage bins and facilities, and not from illegal use. This uptake of insecticide residues from their storage environment by oilseeds can lead to levels that can exceed regulatory limits. An investigation in 13 grain storage companies allowed us to follow the course of 21 rapeseed batches, from their receipt at the storage facilities to outloading. Samples from each of these batches, made at outloading, were analysed by ITERG, looking for insecticide residues. Traceability of rapeseed established by storers allowed us to identify cross-contamination sources. Results are slightly different from those obtained the previous year on sunflower seeds. Substances discovered were mostly pirimiphos-methyl and malathion, plus chlorpyrifos-methyl (two cases) and deltamethrin (one case). Pirimiphos-methyl was most commonly detected, and caused most cases of non-accordance with regulatory limits. Main cross-contamination hazard resulted from treatment of cereals at their receipt during the same period than rapeseed receipt, especially when these cereals treatments were frequent on that silo. Other situations led to cross-contaminations, but generally of lower levels: outloading of treated cereals, rapeseed stored in bin that contained previously treated cereals, empty bins and handling equipment treated before receipt of rapeseed.
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**Introduction**

Post-harvest insecticide residues can be sometimes found on oilseeds, at low levels. But, no insecticide is allowed to be applied directly to oilseeds during storage. Consequently, maximum residue levels (MRLs) allowed by European regulation are very low (mostly at the lower limit of analytical determination): 0,05 mg/kg for pirimiphos-methyl, 0,05 mg/kg for chlorpyrifos-methyl and 0,1 mg/kg for deltamethrin on rapeseed. No MRL existed for malathion during this study, so it shouldn’t be found beyond the analytical limit of quantification (10 μg/kg); but since September 2008 the MRL for malathion in oilseeds is 0,02 mg/kg (Commission regulation n°839/2008 of 31 July 2008).

These insecticide treatments are authorised on stored cereals and corn as grain protectants, and on empty storage and handling equipment as control agents for residual insect populations in empty granaries. Pirimiphos-methyl and still malathion were the substances most employed during this study (storage season 2007-2008). Dichlorvos and malathion were forbidden and could be used only until 1st December 2008. As MRL for dichlorvos has lowered to 0,01 mg/kg in cereals in November 2006, this substance, which was largely used until the previous storage season 2006-2007, could not be used by storage companies anymore. MRL of malathion has not been lowered in cereals, so it could be used until the last time.

So, we can hypothesise that cross-contamination phenomena can exist, between these various kinds of seeds, cereals and oilseeds, sharing the same grain handling and storage system. This phenomena has already been demonstrated in Canada on rapeseed [1-3], when empty bins are treated with organophosphorous insecticides (bromophos, malathion, fenitrothion). Canadian storers were warned that treating before storing rapeseed could lead to residues above the maximum allowable limits.

Uptake of pirimiphos-methyl by a single-layer of rapeseed or wheat on galvanized-steel surfaces was demonstrated in a laboratory study [4, 5]. It was shown that, for small bins (less than 50 tons), it could lead to residues quantities above regulatory limits.

In order to improve our knowledge about this post-harvest insecticide cross-contamination, especially in big elevators, an investigation was carried out with the collaboration of several French grain storage companies on sunflower seeds during the storage season 2006-2007 [6]. Real cases were observed, with an accurate traceability of sunflower seeds lots all along their route inside storage facilities (from receipt to outloading) to find where the insecticides were taken up by the oilseeds. This study showed that cross-contaminations of oilseed by insecticide residues really occur in storage facilities. Substances found were: pirimiphos-methyl, dichlorvos and malathion. The highest risk of contamination appears when cereals are systematically treated at outloading, just before outloading of oilseeds, using the same conveyer circuits.

Results presented in this article come from an investigation, similar to the previous one on sunflower seeds. This new investigation concerns rapeseed harvested in 2007. Dichlorvos was not used anymore during the storage
season 2007-2008, so grain protection strategies changed. Rapeseed is harvested in June-July, like cereals (wheat and barley). So, we can guess that results will be different in this new investigation on rapeseed from those obtained on sunflower seeds.

Materials and methods

The process adopted for this survey on rapeseed was:

- Identifying, with storage operators, rapeseed lots that could be traced (recording of each step from receipt to unloading); 13 grain storage companies agreed to collaborate, and allowed us to follow 21 rapeseed bins. These companies were situated throughout the rapeseed crop area.

- Making a mean sample from each batch representative of rapeseed arriving at the storage facilities just before rapeseed, etc. These samples are preserved if we suspect that contamination occurred before reception by the grain company.

- Making a mean sample representative of unloading rapeseed, "final sample", when the traced lot is commercialized (from one to eight months after harvesting). All these "final samples" were analysed. In one case, we had 2 samples for 1 rapeseed batch (two different conveyor circuits were used), so that we analysed 22 final samples. The sampling method used was based on a standard method (moving seeds, for contaminant with heterogeneous distribution determination, PR EN ISO 24333:2006): 25 elementary samples for 500 tons evenly distributed during the unloading (one elementary sample each 20 tons). This method was usually well observed by the commercial operators.

- Filling a questionnaire called "traceability" which recorded each step from receipt to unloading. Operators had to indicate if treatments were applied on empty bins or handling equipment, or if cereals were treated at their receipt or unloading and if these cereals used the same conveyor circuit inside the storage facilities just before rapeseed, etc.

- Determination of insecticide residues in all "final samples": the analytical laboratory ITERG (Pessac, 33, France) conducted these determinations, using the "common method" developed since three years by a group of about twenty French laboratories (public and private) coordinated by CETIOM and ITERG: Soxhlet extraction of oil with hexane (NF EN ISO 659), pre-purification with acetonitril and freezing, purification with cartridge C18 and cartridge Florisil, analysis by gaseous chromatography with detection NPD (organophosphorous) and ECD (pyrethroid).

Results

Twenty-two samples were analyzed (table 1, figure 1)

The insecticides used on cereals and for storage facilities treatment were detected: pirimiphos-methyl, malathion, chlorpyriphos-methyl and deltamethrin (only 1 case). Most commonly detected substance was pirimiphos-methyl, quantified in 55% of samples. This substance also caused most cases of non-accordance with MRL, in 32% of the samples.

On the whole, final samples were quite contaminated as half of them contained more than 34 μg/kg of insecticide residues (sum of residues median), and 10% of them contained more than 581 μg/kg (sum of residues 9th decile).

Compared with the results obtained in the previous investigation on sunflower harvested in 2006 (table 2, figure 2), pirimiphos-methyl is much more often found in rapeseed, especially above MRL, and with higher levels (mean for rapeseed 130 μg/kg, mean for sunflower seeds 19 μg/kg). Deltamethrin is not found anymore in rapeseed because of the new regulation.

Analytical results for each substance (figure 3, figure 4)

Pirimiphos-methyl – 6 samples are contaminated above 100 μg/kg (C17, C10, C9, C21, C23, C11), including one sample with more than 1000 μg/kg (C17). All the other samples have contents close to MRL (50 μg/kg) or below. Sample C22 contain pirimiphos-methyl residues between 50 and 100 μg/kg, while samples C12, C3, C8, C16 and C13 have contents between 15 and 50 μg/kg. Pirimiphos-methyl was not detected in every other sample.

Malathion – Only one sample have a high content of malathion (C21, 322 μg/kg). One sample (C1) has a malathion content between 50 and 100 μg/kg, and two samples (C16 and C17) between 10 and 20 μg/kg. Malathion was not detected in every other sample.

Table 1. Analytical results (expressed in μg/kg) on the 22 final samples of rapeseed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insecticide</th>
<th>LQ (μg/kg)</th>
<th>MRL (μg/kg)</th>
<th>Mean (μg/kg)</th>
<th>Median (μg/kg)</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>9th decile (μg/kg)</th>
<th>Max. % samples ≥ LQ</th>
<th>% samples &gt; LQ</th>
<th>% samples &gt; MRL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pirimiphos-methyl</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyriphos-methyl</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of residues</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>1161</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LQ: limit of quantification; MRL: maximum residues limits in rapeseed; Sum of residues: 0 μg/kg if under the limit of quantification.
Chlorpyriphos-methyl – Only two samples (C17, C21) contain chlorpyriphos-methyl at 31 μg/kg in both, which is below the MRL (50 μg/kg).

Deltamethrin – Only one sample contain deltamethrin (C12) with a very low content near quantification limit 13 μg/kg (MRL on rapeseed for deltamethrin = 100 μg/kg).

Comparison with previous results on sunflower seeds

Figure 5 and tables 1-2 show that results obtained on rapeseed harvested in 2007 are different from those obtained during the previous investigation on sunflower seeds harvested in 2006. Pirimiphos-methyl is more frequently quantified in rapeseed than in sunflower seeds (55% for rapeseed, 39% for sunflower seeds). And pirimiphos-methyl contents in rapeseed are higher than in sunflower seeds (means and medians: 130 and 22 μg/kg for rapeseed, 19 and 5 μg/kg for sunflower seeds). It can be explained by two reasons: since dichlorvos was prohibited, storage companies resort more frequently to preventive treatments directly on cereals with pirimiphos-methyl; also cross-contamination hazard may be higher for rapeseed since it is harvested almost at the same time that cereals (June-July). For malathion, there is few difference between rapeseed and sunflower, in frequency and levels (table 1 and 2).

Traceability analysis

Four cases leading to cross-contamination were identified:
– K1: treatment of cereals at outloading, just before outloading of oilseeds;
– K2: outloading of cereals, treated at their receipt, just before outloading of oilseeds;
– K3: storage of treated cereals in the same bin just before storage of oilseeds;
– K4: treatment of empty bin and of handling equipment before receiving oilseeds;
– K5: receipt of oilseeds at the same time that cereals treated at receipt (concerns only rapeseed) (figure 6)

It appears that the biggest cross-contamination on rapeseed occurred with the situation K5. This one is characteristic of rapeseed, which is harvested during the same period than cereals (wheat, barley) in June-July. Most samples with pirimiphos-methyl above MRL are in the situation K5. Looking at each sample, we can observe that highest
Contaminations occur when treatments on cereals at receipt are systematic. Treatments of cereals at receipt increased during this campaign because dichlorvos was banned. Indeed dichlorvos could be used when there was pest infestation just before commercialization. Now storers seem to prefer strategy of security against pest, with preventive treatments: in this investigation 29% treat cereals at receipt systematically, 52% treat occasionally, and 19% never treat at receipt. There is only one case with deltamethrin residues (13 μg/kg), in one silo where cereals are systematically treated with this substance at their receipt. In the other silos, deltamethrin is used occasionally. It seems that contaminations with deltamethrin are slight for the time being, either because it is used since a few time, either because quantities applied are low.

K5 can also be linked to problem on insecticide application equipment: weak escape in the treatment system, treatment not stopped after cereals going on treating the empty circuit (accumulation of substance), mistake possible with treatment directly on rapeseed received just after cereals. These problems could not be checked in our investigation.

The situation K5, but can also lead to cross-contaminations (C21, C3). It was this situation, in the previous investigation on sunflower, that led to the highest contaminations. It can also occur on rapeseed. In the case C21, malathion and chlorpyriphos-methyl were not used during the storage campaign 2007-2008, but during previous campaigns. This silo is made of concrete; so we can guess that this material can keep residues more than a year.

The case K2 can also lead to slighter cross-contaminations. The cases K3 and K4 do cause problems, except if there are associated to other risky situations.

Conclusion

Our study in real situations showed that cross-contaminations of oilseeds by post-harvest insecticide residues exist, and can sometimes lead to residues above the regulatory limits. The highest risk of contamination for rapeseed appears when cereals are systematically treated at receipt, at the same time than rapeseed receipt, using the same conveyor circuits. The other identified cases can also lead to slighter contamination. But, silo operators have to concentrate on accumulation of several risky cases, which can worsen the contamination. Other sources of insecticide residues can occur in storage facilities, but we couldn’t check them in this investigation. This include leak of insecticide by the application equipment. We noticed differences in cross-contaminations between sunflower and rapeseed, especially because of the harvest period. But also this new investigation was carried out in the new regulatory context in which dichlorvos and malathion are forbidden for cereal treatment. Thus storage operators have new grain protection strategies, with more preventive strategies to protect cereals against pests.

So in order to reduce these cross-contaminations, we can advise to avoid sharing same reception circuits when cereals are systematically treated, and to avoid accumulation of risky situations. It is also very important to verify the insecticide treatment equipment.

We noticed differences in cross-contaminations between sunflower and rapeseed, especially because of the harvest period. But also this new investigation was carried out in the new regulatory context in which dichlorvos and malathion are forbidden for cereal treatment. Thus storage operators have new grain protection strategies, with more preventive strategies to protect cereals against pests. So in order to reduce these cross-contaminations, we can advise to avoid sharing same reception circuits when cereals are systematically treated, and to avoid accumulation of risky situations. It is also very important to verify the insecticide treatment equipment.

This investigation allowed us to make the storage companies aware of this issue, and to help them to understand how cross-contaminations can occur in their silos and how to avoid them, knowing that each silo is different of the others.
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Figure 6. Distribution of the five cases (K1, K2, K3, K4, K5) for each rapeseed lot, and sum of insecticide residues (µg/kg) for each rapeseed final sample.